## Evaluation Guidelines with Indicative Exhibits / Context to be Observed/Assessed - SAR Tier – I (UG Engineering) First-Time Accreditation

Criterion 1: Vision, Mission and Program Educational Objectives (50)

-	Sub Criteria		Evaluation Guidelines
1.1.	State the Vision and Mission of	05	A. Availability of the Vision and Mission statements of the Department (1)
	the Department and Institute		B. Appropriateness/Relevance of the Statements (2)
			C. Consistency of the Department statements with the Institution statements (2)
			(Here Institution Vision and Mission statements have been asked to ensure consistency with the
			department Vision and Mission statements; the assessment of the Institution Vision and
			Mission will be done in Criterion 10)
		ı	Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assessed
	A. Vision & Mission Statements	B. Correcti	ness from definition perspective C. Consistency between Institution and Department statements
1.2.	State the Program Educational Objectives (PEOs)	05	A. Listing of the Program Educational Objectives (3 to 5) of the program under consideration (5)
			Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assessed
		A	A. Availability & correctness of the PEOs statements
1.3.	Indicate where and how the	15	A. Adequacy in respect of publication and dissemination (3)
	Vision, Mission and PEOs are		B. Process of dissemination among stakeholders (3)
	published and disseminated		C. Extent of awareness of Vision, Mission & PEOs among the stakeholders (9)
	among stakeholders		

Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assessed	Į.	
		on Institute website under relevant program link; Availability at department notice boards, HoD ity in department level documents/course of study
<b>B. Process of dissemination</b> Documentary evidence to indicate the proces	ss which e	ensures awareness among internal and external stakeholders with effective process implementation
C. Extent of Awareness  Based on interaction with internal and exte	ernal stak	eholders
1.4. State the process for defining the Vision and Mission of the Department, and PEOs of the program	15	A. Description of process involved in defining the Vision, Mission of the Department (7)  B. Description of process involved in defining the PEOs of the program (8)
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assessed	Į.	,
Documentary evidence to indicate the proces process implementation	ss which e	ensures effective participation of internal and external department stakeholders with effective
1.5. Establish consistency of PEOs with Mission of the Department	10	A. Preparation of a matrix of PEOs and elements of Mission statement (5)  B. Consistency/justification of co-relation parameters of the above matrix (5)
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assessed	!	
A. Availability of a matrix having PEOs and	Mission e	elements B. Justification for each of the elements mapped in the matrix
Total:	50	

**Criterion 2: Program Curriculum and Teaching–Learning Processes (100)** 

Sub Criteria	Marks	Evaluation Guidelines
2.1. Program Curriculum	30	
2.1.1. State the process for designing the program curriculum	10	Process used to demonstrate how the program curriculum is evolved and periodically reviewed considering the POs and PSOs. <i>Also consider the involvement of the Industry</i> .
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assessed	l I	
Documentary evidence to indicate the process and PSOs.	ss which d	emonstrate how the program curriculum is evolved and periodically reviewed considering the POs
2.1.2. Structure of the Curriculum	05	Refer to SAR: Expectation in 2.1.2 & 2.1.3 is that the curriculum is well balanced structure & appropriate for a degree program.
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assessed	i i	
2.1.3.State the components of the curriculum	05	Refer to SAR: Expectation in 2.1.2 & 2.1.3 is that the curriculum is well balanced structure & appropriate for a degree program
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assessed	l	1
Documentary evidence		
2.1.4. State the process used to identify extent of compliance of the	10	Process used to identify extent of compliance of curriculum for attaining POs & PSOs (10)

curriculum for attaining the	
Program Outcomes(POs) &	
Program Specific Outcomes(PSOs)	

#### Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assessed

Documentary evidence to indicate the process which ensures mapping/compliance of Curriculum with the POs & PSOs.

2.2. Teaching-Learning Processes	70	
2.2.1. Describe the Process followed to improve quality of Teaching Learning	15	<ul> <li>A. Adherence to Academic Calendar (2)</li> <li>B. Pedagogical initiatives (2)</li> <li>C. Methodologies to support weak students and encourage bright students(2)</li> <li>D. Quality of classroom teaching (Observation in a Class) (2)</li> <li>E. Conduct of experiments (Observation in Lab) (2)</li> <li>F. Continuous Assessment in the laboratory (3)</li> <li>G. Student feedback of teaching learning process and action taken (2)</li> </ul>

#### Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assessed

- A. Availability of Academic Calendar based on University academic calendar and its effective compliance
- B. Documentary evidence to support implementation of pedagogical initiatives such as real life examples, collaborative learning, ICT supported learning, interactive class rooms, etc.
- C. Guidelines to identify weak and bright students; post identification actions taken; impact observed
- D. Class room ambience; efforts to keep students engaged (also to be verified during interaction with the students)
- E. Quality of laboratory experience with respect to conducting, recording observations, analysis etc. (also to be verified during interaction with the students)
- F. Internal Semester examination and internal marks thereof, Practical record books, each experiment assessment, final marks based on assessment of all the experiments and other assessments; if any
- G. Feedback format, frequency, analysis and actions taken (also to be verified during interaction with students)

2.2.2. Quality of end semester examination,	15	A. Process for internal semester question paper setting and evaluation and effective process
internal semester question papers,		implementation (3)
assignments and evaluation		B. Process to ensure questions from outcomes/learning levels perspective (2)
		C. Evidence of COs coverage in class test / mid-term tests (5)
		D. Quality of Assignment and its relevance to COs (5)

#### Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assessed

- A. Process of internal semester question paper setting, model answers, evaluation and its compliance
- B. Question paper validation to ensure desired standard from outcome attainment perspective as well as learning levels perspective
- C. Mapping of questions with the Course outcomes
- D. Assignments to promote self-learning, survey of contents from multiple sources, assignment evaluation and feedback to the students, mapping with the Cos

2.2.3. Quality of student projects	20	A. Identification of projects and allocation methodology to Faculty Members (2)
		B. Types and relevance of the projects and their contribution towards attainment of POs and
		PSOs (2)
		c. Project related to Industry (3)
		D. Process for monitoring and evaluation (2)
		E. Process to assess individual and team performance (3)
		F. Quality of completed projects/working prototypes (5)
		G. Evidences of papers published /Awards received by projects, etc. (3)

#### Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assessed

- A. Projects identification and guide allocation process
- B. Projects classification (application, product, research, review, etc.) consideration to factors such as environment, safety, ethics, cost, standards and mapping with program outcomes and program specific outcomes
- C. Continuous monitoring mechanism and evaluation
- D. Methodology (Appropriately documented) to assess individual contribution/understanding of the project as well as collective contribution/understanding
- E. Based on Projects demonstration
- F. Quality of place (host) where the paper has been published /quality of competition in which award has been won

2.2.4. Initiatives related to industry interaction	10	<ul> <li>A. Industry supported laboratories (2)</li> <li>B. Industry involvement in the program design and Curriculum. (3)</li> <li>C. Industry involvement in partial delivery of any regular courses for students (3)</li> <li>D. Impact analysis of industry institute interaction and actions taken thereof (2)</li> </ul>
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assessed	l	
<ul> <li>A. Type of Industries, Type of Labs, object</li> <li>B. Documentary evidence</li> <li>C. Analysis and actions taken thereof</li> </ul>	ives, utilizo	ation and effectiveness
2.2.5. Initiatives related to industry internship/summer training	10	<ul> <li>A. Industrial training/tours for students (2)</li> <li>B. Industrial /internship /summer training of more than two weeks and post training Assessment (3)</li> <li>C. Impact analysis of industrial training (2)</li> <li>D. Student feedback on initiative (3)</li> </ul>
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assessed	d (Docume	entary evidence from A to D)
documented		ctivity, objectives clearly defined, no. of students participated, relevant area of training, visit report
C.& D. Impaci anaiysis ana jeeaback format	, anaiysis	and actions taken (also to be verified during interaction with students)
Total:	100	

#### **Criterion 3: Course Outcomes and Program Outcomes (175)**

Sub Criteria	Marks	Evaluation Guidelines
3.1. Establish the correlation between the courses and the POs & PSOs	25	<ul> <li>A. Evidence of COs being defined for every course (5)</li> <li>B. Availability of COs embedded in the syllabi (5)</li> <li>C. Explanation of Course Articulation Matrix table to be ascertained (5)</li> <li>D. Explanation of Program Articulation Matrix tables to be ascertained (10)</li> </ul>
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assesse	d	

- A. Appropriateness of the statements shall be seen for at least one course each from  $2^{nd}$ ,  $3^{rd}$  and final year of study
- B. Mapping to be verified for at least two matrices
- C. Mapping to be verified for at least one course per year of study; program outcomes and program specific outcomes getting mapped with the core courses are also to be verified

3.2. Attainment of Course Outcomes	75	
3.2.1. Describe the assessment tools and processes used to gather the data upon which the evaluation of Course Outcome is based		A. List of assessment processes (2)  B. The quality /relevance of assessment processes & tools used (8)

#### Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assessed

A.& B. Evidence for appropriate assessment processes including data collection, verification, analysis, decision making

2. Record the attainment of Course Outcomes of all courses with respect to set attainment levels	65	A. Verify the attainment levels as per the benchmark set for all courses (65)

Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assessed				
A. Methodology to define set levels and its c study to be verified	ompliance	e; data collection, verification, analysis and decision making; details for one course per year of		
3.3. Attainment of Program Outcomes and Program Specific Outcomes	75			
3.3.1.Describe assessment tools and processes used for assessing the attainment of each of the POs & PSOs	10	A. List of assessment tools & processes (5)  B. The quality/relevance of assessment tools/processes used (5)		
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assessed A.&B. Direct and indirect assessment tools analysis; decision making based on direct a	& process	es ; effective compliance; direct assessment methodology, indirect assessment formats-collection- t assessment		
3.3.2. Provide results of evaluation of each PO & PSO	65	A. Verification of documents, results and level of attainment of each PO/PSO (50)  B. Overall levels of attainment (15)		
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assessed				
A. & B. Appropriate attainment level and documentary evidences; details for POs & PSOs attainment from core courses to be verified. Also atleast two POs & two PSOs attainment levels shall be verified				
Total	175			

#### Criterion 4: Students' Performance (100)

Sub Criteria	Marks	Evaluation Guidelines
4.1. Enrolment Ratio (20)	20	<ul> <li>A. &gt;= 90% students enrolled at the First Year Level on average basis during the previous three academic years starting from current academic year (20)</li> <li>B. &gt;= 80% students enrolled at the First Year Level on average basis during the previous three academic years starting from current academic year (18)</li> <li>C. &gt;= 70% students enrolled at the First Year Level on average basis during the previous three academic years starting from current academic year (16)</li> <li>D. &gt;= 60% students enrolled at the First Year Level on average basis during the previous three academic years starting from current academic year (14)</li> <li>E. Otherwise '0'.</li> </ul>

#### Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assessed

## A. B. & C. Data to be verified for each of the assessment years

4.2. Success Rate in the stipulated period of the program	20	
4.2.1. Success rate without backlog in any Semester/year of study	15	SI= (Number of students who graduated from the program without repeat(s) in any course)/(Number of students admitted in the first year of that batch and actually admitted in 2nd year via lateral entry and separate division, if applicable)
Without Backlog means: No repeat(s) in any course in any semester/year of study		Average SI = Mean of success index (SI) for past three batches Success rate without backlogs in any year of study = $15 \times \text{Average SI}$

#### Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assessed

Data to be verified for each of the assessment years

4.2.2. Success rate in stipulated	5	SI= (Number of students who graduated from the program in the stipulated period of
period of study (actual duration of the		course duration) / (Number of students admitted in the first year of that batch and actually admitted

program) [Total of with backlog + without		in 2nd year via lateral entry and separate division, if applicable)
backlog]		Average $SI = mean of success index (SI) for past three$
		batches Success rate = $5 \times \text{Average SI}$
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assessed	d:	
Data to be verified for each of the assessmen	nt years	
Note: if 100% students clear without any ba	cklog then	also total marks scored will be 40 as both 4.2.1 & 4.2.2 will be applicable simultaneously.
4.3. Academic Performance in Second	10	Academic Performance Level = Average API (Academic Performance Index)
Year		$\mathbf{API} = ((\text{Mean of } 2^{\text{nd}} \text{ Year Grade Point Average of all successful Students on a 10 point})$
		scale) or (Mean of the percentage of marks of all successful student sin Second Year/10))
		x (successful students/number of students appeared in the examination)
		Successful students are those who are permitted to proceed to the Third year
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assessed  Data to be verified for at least one of the ass		ears
Data to be verified for at least one of the ass  4.4. Placement, Higher studies and		Assessment Points = $30 \times$ average of three years of [ $(x + y + z)/N$ ] where, $x = N$ umber
Data to be verified for at least one of the ass	sessment ye	Assessment Points = $30 \times$ average of three years of [ $(x + y + z)/N$ ] where, $x = N$ umber of students placed in companies or Government sector through on/off campus
Data to be verified for at least one of the ass  4.4. Placement, Higher studies and	sessment ye	Assessment Points = $30 \times$ average of three years of [ $(x + y + z)/N$ ] where, $x = N$ umber of students placed in companies or Government sector through on/off campus recruitment
Data to be verified for at least one of the ass  4.4. Placement, Higher studies and	sessment ye	Assessment Points = $30 \times$ average of three years of [ $(x + y + z)/N$ ] where, $x = N$ umber of students placed in companies or Government sector through on/off campus recruitment $y = N$ umber of students admitted to higher studies with valid qualifying scores
Data to be verified for at least one of the ass  4.4. Placement, Higher studies and	sessment ye	Assessment Points = $30 \times$ average of three years of [ $(x + y + z)/N$ ] where, $x = Number$ of students placed in companies or Government sector through on/off campus recruitment $y = Number$ of students admitted to higher studies with valid qualifying scores (GATE or equivalent State or National level tests, GRE, GMAT etc.)
Data to be verified for at least one of the ass  4.4. Placement, Higher studies and	sessment ye	Assessment Points = $30 \times$ average of three years of [ $(x + y + z)/N$ ] where, $x = Number$ of students placed in companies or Government sector through on/off campus recruitment $y = Number$ of students admitted to higher studies with valid qualifying scores (GATE or equivalent State or National level tests, GRE, GMAT etc.) $z = No$ . of students turned entrepreneur in
Data to be verified for at least one of the ass  4.4. Placement, Higher studies and	sessment ye	Assessment Points = $30 \times$ average of three years of [ $(x + y + z)/N$ ] where, $x = Number$ of students placed in companies or Government sector through on/off campus recruitment $y = Number$ of students admitted to higher studies with valid qualifying scores (GATE or equivalent State or National level tests, GRE, GMAT etc.)
Data to be verified for at least one of the ass  4.4. Placement, Higher studies and	30	Assessment Points = $30 \times$ average of three years of [ $(x + y + z)/N$ ] where, $x = Number$ of students placed in companies or Government sector through on/off campus recruitment $y = Number$ of students admitted to higher studies with valid qualifying scores (GATE or equivalent State or National level tests, GRE, GMAT etc.) $z = No$ . of students turned entrepreneur in engineering/technology $N = Total$ number of final year
Data to be verified for at least one of the ass  4.4. Placement, Higher studies and Entrepreneurship	30	Assessment Points = $30 \times$ average of three years of [ $(x + y + z)/N$ ] where, $x = Number$ of students placed in companies or Government sector through on/off campus recruitment $y = Number$ of students admitted to higher studies with valid qualifying scores (GATE or equivalent State or National level tests, GRE, GMAT etc.) $z = No$ . of students turned entrepreneur in engineering/technology $N = Total$ number of final year students

4.5.1. Professional societies / chapters and	05	A. Availability & activities of professional societies/chapters (3)	
organizing engineering events		B. Number, quality of engineering events (organized at institute) (2)	
		(Level: Institution / State / National / International)	
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assessed	!		
Self-Explanatory			
4.5.2. Publication of technical magazines, newsletters, etc.	05	A. Quality & Relevance of the contents and Print Material (3) B. Participation of Students from the program (2)	
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assessed	,		
A. Documentary evidence			
B. Documentary evidence - Students particip	ation (also	to be confirmed during interaction with the students)	
4.5.3. Participation in inter-institute events	10	A. Events within the state (2)	
by students of the program of		B. Events outside the state (3)	
study (at other institutions)		C. Prizes/awards received in such events (5)	
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assessed	!		
A.B.& C. Quality of events and documentary	evidence		
Total:	100		

#### **Criterion 5: Faculty Information and Contributions (200)**

Sub Criteria	Marks	Evaluation Guidelines
5.1. Student-Faculty Ratio (SFR)	20	Marks to be given proportionally from a maximum of 20 to a minimum of 10 for average SFR between 15:1 to 25:1, and zero for average SFR higher than 25:1. Marks distribution is given as below:

#### Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assessed

- SFR is to be verified considering the faculty of the entire department.
- No. of Regular faculty calculation considering **Regular faculty definition**\*; Faculty appointment letters, time table, subject allocation file, salary statements.
- No. of students calculation as mentioned in the SAR(please refer table under criterion 5.1)
- Faculty Qualification as per AICTE guidelines shall only be counted

- 1. Shall have the AICTE prescribed qualifications and experience.
- 2. Shall be appointed on full time basis and worked for consecutive two semesters during the particular academic year under consideration.
- 3. Should have gone through an appropriate process of selection and the records of the same shall be made available to the visiting team during NBA visit.

<sup>\*</sup>Note: All the faculty whether regular or contractual (except Part-Time), will be considered. The contractual faculty (doing away with the terminology of visiting/adjunct faculty, whatsoever) who have taught for 2 consecutive semesters in the corresponding academic year on full time basis shall be considered for the purpose of calculation in the Faculty Student Ratio. However, following will be ensured in case of contractual faculty:

5.2. Faculty Cadre Proportion	20	Cadre Proportion Marks = $ \begin{pmatrix} AF1 \\ RF1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} AF2 \\ RF2 \end{pmatrix} \times 0.6 \\ RF2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} AF3 \\ RF3 \end{pmatrix} \times 10 $ • If $AF1 = AF2 = 0$ then zero marks • Maximum marks to be limited if it exceeds 20 (Refer calculation in SAR)
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assessed  (Faculty Qualification and experience required for cadre posts shall only be considered as per AICTE norms/guidelines)  • Cadre wise No. of faculty available; Faculty qualification and experience and eligibility; Appointment/Promotion orders  • Cadre wise no. of faculty required as per AICTE guidelines (refer calculation in SAR)		
5.3. Faculty Qualification	20	FQ = 2.0 x [{10X +4Y}/F] where X is no. of faculty with Ph.D., Y is no. of faculty with M.Tech., F is no. of faculty required to comply 1:20 Faculty Student ratio (no. of faculty and no. of students required to be calculated as per 5.1)

### Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assessed

• Documentary evidence – Faculty Qualification

# A. ≥90% of required Faculties retained during the period of assessment keeping CAYm2 as base year (10) 10 B. ≥75% of required Faculties retained during the period of assessment keeping CAYm2 as base year (08) C. ≥60% of required Faculties retained during the period of assessment keeping CAYm2 as base

		year (06)
		D. $\geq$ 50% of required Faculties retained during the period of assessment keeping CAYm2 as base
		year (04)
		E. Otherwise (0)
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assess	ed	
• Faculty date of joining; atleast three mo	nth (July	-April-May) salary statement for each of the assessment years
<b>5.5.</b> Faculty competencies in correlation	10	A. Specialization
to Program Specific Criteria		B. Research Publications
-		c. Course Developments
		D. Other relevant points
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assess	ed .	
5.6. Innovations by the Faculty in	10	A. Statement of clear goals, use of appropriate methods, significance of results, effective
Teaching and Learning		presentation (4)
reaching and Learning		B. Availability of work on the Institute Website (2)
		C. Availability of work for peer review and critique (2)
		D. Reproducibility and Reusability by other scholars for further development (2)
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assess	od	b. Reproductionity and Reasonity by other scholars for farther development (2)
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assesso	u	
A. Availability on Institute website; aware	nece amo	ng faculty and students of the department
B. & C. Self-explanatory	ness anno	ing faculty and students of the department
- · ·	romant of	f student learning, typically include use of ICT, instruction delivery, instructional methods, assessment,
evaluation etc.	ement of	i student learning, typically include use of ic1, histraction derivery, histractional methods, assessment,
	1.5	Ear and warm Assessment 2 VSvm /0 5DE
5.7 Faculty as participants in Faculty	15	For each year: Assessment = 3×Sum/0.5RF
development /training		Average assessment over last three years starting from CAYm1 (Marks limited to 15)
activities /STTPs		
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assess	ed	

5.8. Research and Development	75	
5.8.1. Academic Research	20	A. Number of quality publications in refereed/SCI Journals, citations, Books/Book Chapters etc. (15)  B. PhD awarded during the assessment period while working in the institute (5)
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Ass	sessed	
<ul><li>A. Quality of publications; publication</li><li>B. Documentary evidence</li></ul>	as copy	
5.8.2 Sponsored Research	20	Funded research from outside; Cumulative during CAYm1, CAYm2 and CAYm3  Amount > 50 Lakh
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Ass	sessed	
• Documentary evidence; Funding ag	gency, Amoun	t, Duration, Research progress; Outcome
5.8.3 Development Activities	15	A. Product Development B. Research laboratories C. Instructional materials

Self –explanatory		
5.8.4. Consultancy (From Industry)	20	Consultancy; Cumulative during CAYm1, CAYm2 and CAYm3  Amount >10 Lakh - 20 Marks,  Amount <10 and > 8 Lakh - 15 Marks,  Amount < 8 and > 6 Lakh - 10 Marks,  Amount < 6 and > 4 Lakh - 5 Marks,  Amount < 4 and > 2 Lakh - 2 Marks,  Amount < 2 Lakh - 0 Mark
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assessed	d	
• Documentary evidence; Funding agency	, Amount,	, Duration, Research progress; Outcome
5.9. Faculty Performance Appraisal and Development System (FPADS)	10	<ul> <li>A. A well-defined performance appraisal and development system instituted for all the assessment years (5)</li> <li>B. Its implementation and effectiveness (5)</li> </ul>
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assessed	$\overline{d}$	
A. Notified performance appraisal and dev B. Implementation, Transparency and Effe	-	t system; Appraisal Parameters; Awareness
5.10. Visiting/Adjunct/Emeritus Faculty	10	Provision of Visiting /Adjunct/Emeritus faculty etc.(1)
etc.		• Minimum <b>50</b> hours per year interaction (per year to obtain three marks : $3 \times 3 = 9$ )
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assessed	d	
• Documentary evidence		
Total:	200	

## **Criterion 6: Facilities and Technical Support (80)**

Sub Criteria	Marks	Evaluation Guidelines	
6.1.Adequate and well equipped laboratories, and technical manpower	40	A. Adequate well-equipped laboratories to run all the program-specific curriculum (25)  B. Availability of adequate and qualified technical supporting staff (15)	
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assesse	ed		
A. Adequacy; well-equipped laboratories; B. Self – explanatory	utilizatio	n	
6.2. Laboratories: Maintenance and overall ambience	10	Maintenance and overall ambience (10)	
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assesse	ed		
Self-explanatory			
6.3. Safety measures in laboratories	10	Safety measures in laboratories (10)	
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assessed			
Self –explanatory			
6.4. Project laboratory/Facilities	20	Facilities & Utilization (20)	
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assessed			
Self –explanatory	Self –explanatory		
Total:	80		

## **Criterion 7: Continuous Improvement (75)**

Sub Criteria	Marks	Evaluation Guidelines
7.1.Actions taken based on the results of	30	A. Documentary evidences of POs and PSOs attainment levels (15)
evaluation of each of the POs and		B. Identification of gaps/shortfalls (05)
PSOs		C. Plan of action to bridge the gap and its Implementation (10)
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assess	sed	
Documentary evidence in respect of each	ch of the F	POs
7.2 Academic Audit and actions taken during the period of Assessment	15	A. Assessment shall be based on conduct and actions taken in relation to continuous improvement (15)
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assess	sed	
A. Academic Audit assessment criteria, fro	equency, c	onduct mechanism, action plan based on audit, implementation and effectiveness
7.3. Improvement in Placement,	10	Assessment is based on improvement in: (Refer placement index 4.5)
Higher Studies and Entrepreneurship		A. Improvement in Placement numbers, quality, core hiring industry and pay packages (5)
		B. Improvement in Higher Studies admissions for pursuing PhD. in premier institutions(3)
		C. Improvement in number of Entrepreneurs (2)
		(Marks to be given proportionately considering nos. in the base year CAYm3)
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assess	sed	
A. B. & C. Nos. in each year of the assessm	nent; impr	ovement considering CAYm3 as a base year
7.4. Improvement in the quality of students admitted to the program	20	A. Assessment is based on improvement in terms of ranks/score in qualifying state level/national level entrances tests, percentage Physics, Chemistry and Mathematics marks in 12th Standard and percentage marks of the lateral entry students
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assess	sed	
A. Documentary evidence – list of students	admitted;	admission authority guidelines; ranks/scores; comparative status considering CAYm3 as a base
year		
Total:	75	

#### **Criterion 8: First Year Academics (50)**

Sub Criteria	Marks	Evaluation Guidelines
8.1. First Year Student- Faculty Ratio		For each year of assessment = $(5 \times 20)$ / FYSFR (Limited to Max. 5) Average of Assessment of data in CAY, CAY $m1$ and CAY $m2$
(FYSFR)	05	*Note: If FYSFR is greater than 25, then assessment equal to zero.

#### Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assessed

- No. of Regular faculty calculation considering Regular faculty definition and fractional load; Faculty appointment letters; Salary statements
- No. of students calculation as mentioned in the SAR

#### Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assessed

• Documentary evidence – Faculty Qualification

8.3. First Year Academic Performance	10	Academic Performance = ((Mean of 1st Year Grade Point Average of all successful Students on a 10 point scale) or (Mean of the percentage of marks in First Year of all successful students/10)) x (successful students/number of students appeared in the examination) (Successful students are those who are permitted to proceed to the Second year)
--------------------------------------	----	--

#### Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assessed

Data to be verified for at least one of the assessment years

8.4. Attainment of Course Outcomes of first year courses	10	
8.4.1. Describe the assessment processes used to gather the data upon which the evaluation of Course Outcomes of first year is based	05	A. List of assessment processes (1) B. The relevance of assessment tools used (4)
		Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assessed
A. & B. Direct and indirect assessment(if		), tools & processes; effective compliance; direct assessment methodology, indirect assessment ormats-collection-analysis; decision making
8.4.2. Record the attainment of Course Outcomes of all first year courses	05	A. Verify the records as per the benchmark set for the courses (5)
		Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assessed
	A. $I$	Documentary evidence – Attainment for atleast 3 courses
8.5. Attainment of Program Outcomes of all first year courses	20	
8.5.1. Indicate results of evaluation of each relevant PO/PSO	10	<ul> <li>A. Process of computing POs/PSOs attainment level from the COs of related first year courses (5)</li> <li>B. Verification of documents validating the above process (5)</li> </ul>
		Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assessed
	A.	& B. Documentary evidence for each relevant PO/PSO
8.5.2. Actions taken based on the results of evaluation of relevant POs /PSOs	10	A. Appropriate actions taken (10)

Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assess	sed	
A. Documentary evidence for each relevan	t PO/PSO	
Total:	50	

## **Criterion 9: Student Support Systems (50)**

Sub Criteria	Marks	Evaluation Guidelines
9.1. Mentoring system to help at individual level	05	A. Details of the mentoring system that has been developed for the students for various purposes and also state the efficacy of such system (5)
		Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assessed
A. Mentoring system terms	of reference	e; implementation; effectiveness (also to be verified during interaction with the students)
9.2. Feedback analysis and reward /corrective measures taken, if any	10	A. Methodology being followed for analysis of feedback and its effectiveness (5) B. Record of corrective measures taken (5)
		Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assessed
A. I	Feedback qı	uestions, collection process, analysis, actions taken, effectiveness
9.3. Feedback on facilities	05	A. Feedback collection, analysis and corrective action (5)
		Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assessed
		Self - explanatory
9.4. Self - Learning	05	<ul> <li>A. Scope for self-learning (2)</li> <li>B. The institution needs to specify the facilities, materials for learning beyond syllabus, Webinars, Podcast, MOOCs etc. and demonstrate its effective utilization (3)</li> </ul>

Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Asses Self - explanatory	ised	
9.5. Career Guidance, Training, Placement	10	A. Availability of career guidance facilities (2) B. Counseling for higher studies (GATE/GRE, GMAT, etc.) (2) C. Pre-placement training (3) D. Placement process and support (3)
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Asses	ssed	
Availability, implementation, effectiveness	s (also to b	e verified during interaction with the students)
9.6. Entrepreneurship Cell	05	A. Entrepreneurship initiatives (3) B. Data on students benefitted (2)
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Asses	sed	
Availability, implementation, effectiveness	s (also to b	e verified during interaction with the students)
9.7. Co-curricular and Extra-		A. Availability of sports and cultural facilities (3)
curricular Activities	10	<ul><li>B. NCC, NSS and other clubs (3)</li><li>C. Annual students activities (4)</li></ul>
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Asses	ssed	C. Thindar students activities (1)
Availability, implementation, effectivenes.	s (also to b	e verified during interaction with the students)
Total:	50	

## **Criterion 10: Governance, Institutional Support and Financial Resources (120)**

Sub Criteria	Marks	Evaluation Guidelines
10.1. Organization, Governance and Transparency	55	
10.1.1.State the Vision and Mission of the Institute	05	<ul><li>A. Availability of the Vision &amp; Mission statements of the Institute (2)</li><li>B. Appropriateness/ Relevance of the Statements (3)</li></ul>
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Asse	essed	,
	lity of one se	bility of statements on Institute website; Availability at Central facilities such as Library, Computer of statements in each of the departments; Availability in Institute level documents
10.1.2. Availability of the Institutional Strategic Plan and its Effective Implementation and Monitoring	25	Availability of a 5 year Strategic Plan.
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Asse	essed	
10.1.3. Governing body, administrative setup, functions of various bodies, service rules procedures, recruitment and promotional policies.	10	<ul> <li>A. List the Governing Body Composition and its Sub Committees, senate, and all other academic and administrative bodies; their memberships, functions, and responsibilities; frequency of the meetings; participation details of external members and attendance therein (4)</li> <li>B. The published service rules, policies and procedures with year of publication (3)</li> <li>C. Minutes of the meetings and action-taken reports (3)</li> </ul>

Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Asso	essed	
Self - explanatory		
10.1.4. Decentralization in working and grievance redressal mechanism	05	<ul> <li>A. Organizational Structure, List of Administrative Committees and Administrative Heads who have been delegated powers for taking administrative decisions (1)</li> <li>B. Specify the mechanism and composition of grievance redressal cell (1)</li> <li>C. Action taken report of representations (sample) (3)</li> </ul>
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Asso	essed	
A. B. & C. Documentary evidence		
10.1.5. Delegation of financial powers	05	A. Financial powers delegated to the Principal, Heads of Departments and relevant in-charges (2) B. Demonstrate the utilization of financial powers for each of the assessment years (3)
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Asse	essed	
<ul><li>A. Circulars notifying financial power</li><li>B. Documentary evidence to exhibit ut</li></ul>		each levels during assessment years
10.1.6. Transparency and availability		A. Information on the policies, rules, processes is to be made available on web site (2)
of correct/unambiguous	05	B. Dissemination of the information about student, faculty and staff (2)
information in public domain		C. Mandatory disclosure as per AICTE/AISHE on the website. (1)
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Asso A. & B. Website and Documentary evidence		
10.2. Budget Allocation, Utilization, and Public Accounting at Institute level	15	
10.2.1. Adequacy of Budget allocation	05	<ul><li>A. Quantum of budget allocation for three years (3)</li><li>B. Justification of budget allocated for three years (2)</li></ul>

Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Ass	essed	
<ul><li>A. Budget formulation, finalization and</li><li>B. Requirement – allocation –adequacy</li></ul>		
10.2.2. Utilization of allocated funds	05	A. Budget utilization for three years (5)
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Ass	essed	
A. Balance sheet; effective utilization; r	andom verifi	ication for atleast two of the three assessment years
10.2.3. Availability of the audited statements on the institute's website	05	A. Availability of Audited statements on website (5)
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Ass.  A. Website	essed	
10.3. Program Specific Budget Allocation, Utilization	30	To be evaluated in consultation with the Program Experts
10.3.1. Adequacy of budget allocation	10	A. Quantum of budget allocation for three years (5)  B. Justification of budget allocated for three years (5)
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Ass	essed	
<ul><li>A. Budget formulation, finalization and</li><li>B. Requirement – allocation –adequacy</li></ul>	* * *	
10.3.2. Utilization of allocated funds	20	A. Budget utilization for three years (20)

10.4. Library and Internet	20	
10.4.1. Quality of learning resources (hard/soft)	10	<ul> <li>Availability of relevant learning resources including e-resources and Digital Library (7)</li> <li>Accessibility to students (3)</li> </ul>
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / As	sessed	
(Also to be verified during interactions 10.4.2. Internet	10	A. Available bandwidth (4)
1011121 201011101		
		B. Wi Fi availability (2)
		C. Internet access in labs, classrooms, library and offices of all Departments (2)
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / As	sessed	C. Internet access in labs, classrooms, library and offices of all Departments (2)
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / As		C. Internet access in labs, classrooms, library and offices of all Departments (2) D. Security mechanism (2)
	juacy; Effecti	C. Internet access in labs, classrooms, library and offices of all Departments (2) D. Security mechanism (2)
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Ass Availability as per AICTE norms; Adeq	juacy; Effecti	C. Internet access in labs, classrooms, library and offices of all Departments (2) D. Security mechanism (2)